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TRAINING IMPACT:
Organizations saw a 26% improvement, on average, in eight measures of business and project management performance because of their project management training initiatives.

About the Survey
What is the value of project management training? How much project management training do organizations engage in? What training methods do organizations use? How effective is the training? What is the cost? And what are organizations trying to accomplish, exactly, by training their employees? These and other issues are addressed in this benchmark of current project management training practices.

About the Respondents
» Number of Respondents: 262 representing 247 organizations
» Roles of Respondents: C-Level (8%), VP or Director-Level Business Management (6%), VP or Director-Level Program/Project Management (10%), Head of PMO (23%), Program/Project Manager (40%), Other (13%)
» Size of Organization: Large-Revenue >$1B (39%), Mid-sized-Revenue $100M-$1B (25%), Small-Revenue <$100M (36%)
» Industries: Professional & Technical Services (26%), Manufacturing (14%), Information (13%), Finance & Insurance (12%), Utilities (9%), Public Administration (8%), Healthcare & Social Services (7%), Other (11%)
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Organizations engage in project management training for a variety of reasons (see chart to the right). In fact, our survey respondents cited, on average, five different business needs that they addressed by implementing PM training initiatives. Clearly, PM training is important to firms. But is it successful? And what methods of training are most effective? At what cost?

Our research shows that project management training is successful and cost-effective. When training is targeted to meet specific business needs, the results can be impressive: firms reported an average 26% improvement across eight measures of project and business performance because of training initiatives.

Firms used a variety of training methods to achieve these business results, including instructor-led classroom training, instructor-led virtual learning, self-directed e-learning, technology-delivered, and blended learning (using a combination of methods).

While training in basic project management skills is the most often cited type of training offered, a significant number of employees are receiving intermediate and advanced project management skills training as well as training to improve leadership, communications, and teamwork skills.

Fast Facts

» Instructor-led classroom training is by far the most used and most effective method of project management training.

» Firms invest US$2,211 per year per employee for project management training.

» Employees receive six days of project management training per year, on average.

» More than half (56%) of the project management training provided by firms is delivered by external training vendors.

We hope you will use the results of this benchmark of current project management training practices to help you design training strategies to meet your own business and project management needs.

PM Training in Organizations

Most (three-quarters) of the firms surveyed offer employees project management training.

» Large organizations are most likely to offer PM training (84%), followed by mid-size organizations (79%), and then small organizations (62%).
Organizations use project management training to address a variety of needs—some focused on improving project managers’ skills, some on improving project management capability, and some on improving project success. Specifically, these include:

» Avoiding missed project deadlines (65%)
» Providing basic project management skills (63%)
» Developing professional project managers (61%)
» Improving quality (57%)
» Reducing project failures (57%)
» Minimizing scope creep (55%)
» Minimizing cost overruns (53%)
» Increasing productivity (48%)
» Onboarding new employees (24%)
» Responding to competitive pressures (21%)
» Adhering to executive mandates (21%).

Who decides what and how much project management training is needed? Most often, the decision is made by the head of a PMO (43%), a business management vice-president or director (36%), a business owner/president/C-level executive (31%), or a vice-president or director of project management (24%).

Not surprisingly, project management training is offered primarily to project managers and project team members. Almost all (94%) firms surveyed provide PM training to project managers, 58% to project team members. Others receiving PM training include business analysts (34%), technical professionals (33%), business line managers (29%), and executives (22%).

Organizations provide six days of project management training per person per year, on average. These organizations invest, on average, US$2,211 per year per person for PM training.

» Large organizations provide five days of training, and small and mid-size organizations provide seven days per person per year, on average.

» Small ($2,435) and mid-size ($2,421) firms invest significantly more per person per year for training than large firms ($1,854). We expect this is because large firms can achieve economies of scale in negotiating training costs.

» Professional and technical services and information organizations had the largest training budgets ($2,661 per year per person).

Firms often rely on training vendors to provide their project management training. More than three-quarters (78%) of firms use external training vendors. And more than half (56%) of the project management training that organizations offer is provided by these external vendors.
PM Training Methods and Types

The most requested type of training by employees is for improving basic (67%) and intermediate (61%) project management skills. Other types of training requested are aimed at improving leadership skills (52%), communication and interpersonal skills (45%), teamwork skills (42%), and advanced project management skills (41%).

» Only 38% of organizations have employees requesting training to prepare for the Project Management Professional (PMP®) certification examination.

» Employees at small organizations (28%) were less likely to request PMP prep training than those at mid-size (44%) and large (41%) organizations. They were also less likely to request project management software training (27%-small, 44%-midsize, 38%-large).

For the average organization, more than half (55%) of the project management training offered is instructor-led classroom training. Self-directed e-learning (17%) is a distant second, followed by instructor-led virtual learning (13%), technology-delivered training (5%), and other (9%—e.g. one-on-one, conferences, publications).

Most organizations that offer training offer instructor-led classroom training (87%), followed by self-directed e-learning (57%), instructor-led virtual learning (48%), blended learning—using multiple methods (40%) and technology-delivered training (25%).

A third of organizations surveyed have a formal professional development program for their project managers. This type of program was more likely to be seen in large organizations and firms with a centralized project management function like a PMO.

More than half (56%) of the training that organizations offer is provided by external training organizations.
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Organizations report mixed opinions on the value of project management certification (PMP, PgMP, etc.): a third find getting their employees certified to be important or very important, another third find it to be unimportant or not at all important, and the final third are neutral.

PM Training Effectiveness and Value

Instructor-led classroom training is the most effective method of training by far (69% of respondents found instructor-led classroom training effective or very effective). Instructor-led virtual learning, self-directed e-learning and technology-delivered training were all only moderately effective on average. Using multiple learning methods (blended learning) was effective, but not nearly as effective as instructor-led classroom training.

Measurement continues to be critical in being able to show the value and benefit of training. A majority (82%) of organizations measure their training effectiveness using post-training participant evaluation or observance of behavior changes on the job; 30% assess knowledge gained. A significant number of organizations (28%) now measure business results due to the training and can clearly

---

### Project Management Training Forecast

Percentage of organizations that intend to purchase the following types of training products and services in the next 12 months (by size of organization).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>SMALL</th>
<th>MID-SIZE</th>
<th>LARGE</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Instructor-led classroom training</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project management basics training</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced project management skills training</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate project management skills training</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-learning (self-directed)</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project manager competency assessments</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virtual learning (instructor-led; Webex, GoToMeeting …)</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customized course development</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project management career path development</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mentoring</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology-delivered training (CD-ROM …)</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business analyst skills training</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consulting</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business skills training</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training program administration</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile learning (using smart phones, tablets, pads …)</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
demonstrate the effectiveness of training (see chart on page 4). But there are also a significant number of organizations (19%) who still don’t measure training effectiveness at all.

Those firms that do measure the effectiveness of their project management training show significant business results. These results make it clear that business needs are indeed being met by project management training initiatives. Organizations saw an average 26% improvement in eight measures of performance: stakeholder satisfaction (29% improvement), schedule performance (27%), project failures (26%), quality (25%), budget performance (25%), requirements performance (25%), productivity (24%), and time (speed) to market (24%).

**PM Training Forecast**

Organizations intend to purchase multiple training products and services in the next 12 months, including instructor-led classroom training (expected to be purchased by 48% of firms), project management basics training (40%), advanced project management skills training (40%), intermediate project management skills training (36%), self-directed e-learning (30%), and project manager competency assessments (26).

» Across the board, small and mid-size organizations are more likely to purchase training products and services in the next 12 months than large organizations. The only exception is for project manager competency assessments (expected to be purchased by about a quarter of all size organizations).
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